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The Urban Energy Balance

- Long-wave radiation (infrared)
- Thermal storage: Cities have significant thermal mass
- Latent heat: Cities tend to lack surface water and vegetation
- Short-wave (solar) radiation
  - Visible and ultraviolet: Cities tend to have low short-wave reflectivity (albedo)
- Anthropogenic flux: Cities release large quantities of heat
- Convection: Magnitude and sign depend upon wind flow and relative temperatures

**Bottom Line:** Cities gain and produce more heat than is lost.
Heat Island Impacts

- Higher temperatures
- Higher ozone levels
- Higher energy bills
- Health effects
- Quality of life effects
Heat Island Impacts
Temperature and Ozone

Other Effects
- Emissions
- Mixing heights
- Wind speeds
- Convection

Peak (1-hr) Ozone in Atlanta Georgia

Confederate (April-Oct, 1996 and 1997)
Heat Island Impacts
Electric Power Use

- Total and peak loads affected
- Extra generation capacity required

Sample Electric Load Data for New Orleans, LA
Data courtesy Entergy Corporation

![Graph showing electric load data vs. temperature](image-url)
Heat Island Humor?

OUT OF THE FRYING PAN...

We HAVE air conditioners, but we NEED air shampoos.

ALERT-- DUE TO HIGHER TEMPERATURES, AIR QUALITY IS POOR. REMAIN INDOORS AND TURN ON THE VERY AC THAT USES THE ELECTRICITY THAT'S CREATED BY THE BURNING OF FOSSIL FUEL THAT CONTRIBUTES TO BOTH THE HIGHER TEMPERATURES AND THE POOR AIR QUALITY.

www.rhymeswithorange.com
HILARY B. PRICE B.3.1
Heat Island Impacts
Human Health

In the U.S., heat related deaths average 381 people per year.

Chicago

modest differences in the intensity of heat waves can have dramatically different impacts on human mortality.
Chronicle Humor?

I'm thinkin' we could fry an egg on the pavement.

Only if it didn't fry 'fore we got it outa the shell.
Heat Island Premises

- **Premise 1**: Urban climates have been changed.
  - Temperature
  - Soil moisture
  - Cloud cover
  - Lightning
  - Rainfall patterns
  - Mixing height
  - Wind speeds

- **Premise 2**: Urban climates can be changed again to reduce the effects.
Changing the Urban Climate

Changing the urban climate = changing urban surfaces

1. Installing more reflective, less heat absorbing materials
   - Reflective roofing
   - Reflective paving surfaces

2. Adding vegetation/soft fabric for cooling effects
   - Forestation
   - Preservation of trees
   - Green roofs
   - Porous paving
Effect of 15 Years of Tree Loss on Ozone Levels

Atlanta

- Maximum $O_3$: June 4, 1984 (base case) 123
- Reduction in $O_3$ due to loss of trees (-7 ppb) 116

Tree loss reduces biogenic emissions which reduces ozone.

BUT temperatures INCREASE and RAISE ozone levels.

The results: 14% INCREASE:

- Photochemical reactions (+5 ppb) 121
- Biogenic emission effect (+16 ppb) 137
- Anthropogenic emission effect (+3 ppb) 140

Total Increase Due to Tree Loss 17 ppb

(Cardelino and Chameides, 1990)
Role of Trees in Air Quality

- Add emissions of natural VOCs
  - Terpene and isoprene
- Remove ozone through deposition
- Use solar energy in energy balance
- Shade buildings and rooftops
  - Reduces energy use
- Shade surfaces that would absorb energy
  - rooftops, paved surfaces, air conditioning units
- Reduce equipment used for mowing
- Shade vehicles reducing evaporative emissions
SIP Requirements for Credit

Must Meet All Four

- **Quantifiable**
  - Credible, defensible, replicable
  - Demonstrated in modeling

- **Permanent**
  - Won’t end when program ends

- **Surplus**
  - Not counted elsewhere
  - No double counting

- **Enforceable**
  - Against a source
  - Against a party - governmental entity
  - Voluntary - limited credit
Six Possible Tree SIP Credits

1. Tree VOC emissions
2. Ozone deposition
3. Energy savings from tree shade
4. Mowing emission reductions
5. Evaporative emission reductions
6. Heat island temperature reductions
1. Emissions of Natural VOCs
   The absurd but relevant example

- Trees are planted and destroyed.
- VOC reductions occur with tree loss
  - Tree loss would be a “benefit” under a system that tracks the tree inventory.
  - Air quality credits could be given for removing trees.
  - Quantifiable/permanent/surplus??/enforceable???

- The Atlanta modeling demonstrates that the net effect of tree loss is MORE ozone.
- SIP control measure credits are driven by “emission reduction thinking” not “ozone reduction”
2. Deposition as a SIP Credit

- Modeling difficulties!!!
- Deposition is part of air chemistry modeling.
- Modeling treats trees as a constant - no growth or death; not planted or destroyed.
- This doesn’t matter much, unless . . . .
- trees are proposed as SIP control measure.
- Nevertheless, trees provide a large positive benefit from deposition.
- If a tree is lost, there is less ozone removed.
- If trees are added (or conserved), there is more ozone removed by deposition.
- Quantifiable/permanent/surplus?/enforceable ??

“Now let’s go to the meteorologist with today’s guess.”
Deposition and Ozone Fate

Trees remove ozone through deposition.

Roughly 15% to 33% of that formed by air chemistry.
3. Energy Savings from Shade

- 6% to 15% benefit
- 1-2 tons day NOx reductions
- Do emission reductions occur and where?
- Cap and trade issues in Houston is major barrier to SIP credit
- New 8/04 EPA guidance might help.
- Quantifiable/permanent/surplus?/enforceable?
4. Mowing Emission Reductions

- Replace mowed areas with trees and low maintenance vegetation
- Reduce mowing/blowing emissions
- Primarily VOCs
- Quantifiable/permanent/surplus/enforceable
# How Much?

**lbs/acre/mowing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landscape Maintenance Activity</th>
<th>VOCs</th>
<th>NO$_x$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mowing</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blowing</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimming</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Clean Air Counts - Chicago

No more mowing at my house!!
5. Evaporative Emission Reductions

- Parking in the shade
- 2% VOC reduction with 50% canopy - Sacramento studies
- Slight NOx benefit
- Other benefits
- Quantifiable/permanent/enforceable?/surplus
6. Heat Island Ozone Reductions

- Most comprehensive view of heat island impacts
  - Added trees and increased reflectivity
  - Improved modeling of land use/land cover change at U of H puts levels in the 10 ppb range or roughly 5% to 8% benefit
  - Not included
    - power plant emission reductions
    - evaporative emission reductions
    - thermal mass energy reductions

- Large modeling challenges remain particularly with meteorology
- Large policy challenges remain with inclusion of tree/vegetation inventory
- Quantifiable???/permanent/enforceable??/surplus?
### Summary of Functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree Functions</th>
<th>Unique Impact</th>
<th>Unique Air Quality Impact</th>
<th>Level of Certainty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. VOC emissions from trees</td>
<td>Adds VOC emissions</td>
<td>VOC emission source</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Ozone deposition</td>
<td>Removes ozone</td>
<td>Direct ozone reduction</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Shading of buildings</td>
<td>Reduces summertime energy use</td>
<td>Indirectly reduces power plant emissions</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Mowing/lawn offsets</td>
<td>Reduces/eliminates mowing</td>
<td>Directly reduces lawn and garden emissions</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Evaporative emissions shade</td>
<td>Reduces temperature of vehicles and fuel</td>
<td>Indirectly reduces evaporative and start-up emissions</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Comprehensive Heat Island Effects</td>
<td>Lower temperatures plus complex changes to meteorology and air chemistry</td>
<td>Lowers ozone levels through direct and indirect methods</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trees plus other measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is Enough?

- 660 million trees in the region
- Losing 2 - 4 million per year?
- New tree growth largely invasives (tallow)
- Regional plans suggest adding a million trees per year
- Public and non-profit sector add 100,000 to 200,000 per year (guesstimate)
- Individuals add ??,000 per year

How do we get to millions of trees per year?
With New Tree Strategies

- Measure and capture private sector tree planting - need baseline
- Use the Internet
  - web-based tree planting for measurement, tracking and promotion
- Create new private-public partnerships
  - Vertical market solutions from tree growing to tracking
  - Venture capital?
- Promote large scale forestation efforts through market mechanisms
- Change parts of the system that ignore conservation
  - Not bad people • bad systems
Conclusions

- There are systematic, cost effective actions to alter an urban fabric.
- Heat island mitigation components, such as cool roofing and trees, provide a large stream of benefits than can be tapped to change an urban climate.
- The scope and amount of time required for such changes is as short as 10 years.
- **Focus** and **continuity** of effort are essential.
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